PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

NTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY	EXAMINING AUTHORIT	Y	PCT YOUTH		
ASTRAZENECA Global Intellectual Property S-151 85 Södertälje SUEDE CODE DATE		NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL (INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT			
			(PCT Rule 71.1)		
	ANKOM 0 6 GCT 2004	DauRS mailing (day/month/year)	04/10/2004		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 100861-1 W0	DATA ENTERED	IMP	ORTANT NOTIFICATION		
International application No.	CHECKernational filing dat	e (davimon hjyear)	Priority date (day month year)		
PCT/GB03/05087	24/11/2003	3	28/11/2002		
Applicant					
ASTRAZENECA AB et	a1.				

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international ı. preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but 3. not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

REMINDER Δ

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office

D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

Authorized officer

FERRO VASCONCELOS M

Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828

Office europee.

saisches Patentam

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (August 2002) P20473

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 100861-1 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	TION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/I							
aternational application No. International filing date (day/monta		(day month y	ear) Pri	riority date (day month year)					
PCT/GB03/05087 24/11/2003			2	28/11/2002					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and	IPC							
C07F7/18									
Applicant									
ASTRAZENECA AB et al.									
This international preliminary example Authority and is transmitted to the	e applicant according to A	rticle 36.		al Preliminar	y Examining				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.									
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).									
These annexes consists of a total of sheets.									
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:									
I X Basis of the report									
II Priority									
III X Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability									
		ſ	CODE	DATE	NTD]			
<u>-</u>	IV Lack of unity of invention								
	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty citations and explanations supporting such statement			or industria	аррисавшту				
VI Certain documents cite	d	ANKOM ()	6 OCT 20	04 GIPS					
VII Certain defects in the in	nternational application								
VIII Certain observations or	on the international application DATA ENTERED								
		FINAL							
•		. լ	CHECK						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of com	pletion of this	report					
25/05/2004		29/09/2004			obisches	Patentamt.			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ Authorized		Authorized of	fficer		- 180×	1 4			
European Patent Office		GELLIE B R			ets .				
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 5236 Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828			Opposition of the state of the					
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) P20476 (October 2002)									

I. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The question of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step, or to be industrially applicable has not been the subject of the international preliminary examination in respect of the claims which have not been searched (Article 17(2)(a) or (3) and Rule 66.1(e) PCT); see also international search report).

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

To the extent that the international preliminary examination has been carried out (see item III above), the following is pointed out:

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims, which have been the subject of an international search report, does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).